

**BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001**

**RECEIVED
DEC 20 10 30 AM '00**

**POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

**Complaint on Sunday
and Holiday Collections**

Docket No. C2001-1

**DOUGLAS F. CARLSON
ANSWER IN OPPOSITION
TO POSTAL SERVICE MOTION TO DISMISS—ERRATUM**

December 16, 2000

My Answer in Opposition to Postal Service Motion to Dismiss was filed on December 14, 2000. On page 14, I wrote:

As further evidence of a policy change, the current version of the collection-box labels that appear on most collection boxes (Label #55B, August 1995) does not provide the location of a box that has a Sunday or holiday collection. The Postal Service changed the label design because the Postal Service changed the policy. Directing customers to collection boxes that have holiday collections is meaningless if the mail will not be processed on holidays.

Unfortunately, this paragraph is partially inaccurate. This version of the label, which is used on nearly all collection boxes, does have spaces for the location of a box that has a Sunday and/or holiday collection. Thus, the label *design* did not eliminate this information. However, the spaces for the location of a collection box that has a Sunday or holiday collection are blank on the labels on nearly all collection boxes.

In sum, while the label design is not evidence of the policy change, the absence of boxes that have Sunday and holiday collections is.

I regret this error.

Respectfully submitted,



DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

Dated: December 16, 2000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon the required parties in accordance with section 12 of the *Rules of Practice*.



DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

December 16, 2000
Santa Cruz, California